The Effect of Biofeedback Therapy on Hand Function and Daily Activities in Stroke Survivors
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Abstract

Background: Due to progressive increase in stroke incidence and need for effective therapy, the effectiveness of biofeedback therapy on the hand function and activities of daily living performances in stroke survivors were studied. 

Materials and Patients: In this randomized control trial study, 24 participants (mean age 54.75 years) were divided randomly in experiment and control groups. Their affected hands were evaluated before and after intervention using Barthel index questionnaire, Ashworth test and goniometry in elbow, wrist and finger. The two groups received current occupational therapy intervention for 3 months, 3 sessions (each session was 45 min) in a week. The experimental group also had 15 min biofeedback therapy in each session.

Results: Biofeedback trained group showed more decrease in spasticity, significant increase in range of motion in elbow (p<0.001), wrist (p<0.003) and finger (p<0.001) and significant increase in activities of daily living performances (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Biofeedback in accompanying with routine occupational therapy promised to be more effective in stroke survivors.

Introduction

Stroke as the most common brain disorders is the third cause of death [1, 2] and its consequences continued more than 24 h [3]. The rate of stroke incidence is about 3 in 100,000 in 3rd and 4th decade of age which increases to 300 in 100,000 people during 8th and 9th decades [4]. Long lasting and disabling consequences mark stroke as the third cause of death due to disease in the world [2]. The most common stroke related disorders are manifested as hemiplegia, imbalance, incoordination and spasticity which are especially seen in upper extremities [5]. Motor and psychomotor disorders lead to limb inactivation, additional paralysis and palsy, problems in activities of daily living performance, problems in personal activity and social participation and finally more dependency and decrease in quality of life [6]. Lots of treatment procedures are available to deal with these problems, such as early medical interventions and rehabilitation programs and a wide range of techniques and approaches are used currently in rehabilitation programs [7].

These techniques have partial effectiveness especially for upper extremities and hand as key role player in activities of daily living (ADL) performances. On the other hand recovery should occur up to 11th week after stroke incidence, because after that period the expectation of recovery is very low [8]. Regarding these facts, it is necessary to develop therapeutic techniques or combine different techniques to improve and accelerate recovery of upper extremity in stroke survivors [7].

As an effective intervention in this field, using electromyographic biofeedback, help the patients to control motor activities [9, 10]. Using biofeedback in accompanying with traditional interventions have been studied in some experiments and has showed its effectiveness in improving function in gross muscles (shoulder muscles or legs) [11]. While these studies have focused on recovery of gross muscles [12-15], fine movements of hand which are very critical in activities of daily living are ignored. Only in one research, the effectiveness of biofeedback therapy on the spasticity in wrist flexors, upper extremity function and ADL performances has been studied in the patients with stroke [16].

The results indicated improvements in reducing spasticity, upper extremity function, and increase in joints range of motion [16]. However there are not enough convincing evidences to support the effectiveness of biofeedback while there are some controversial reports [17-19]. Furthermore, it is not clear whether these functional improvements, can lead to better activities of daily living performances? Because of very few if any study on the effectiveness of biofeedback on the hand function and also controversies in the previous results, the effectiveness of applying biofeedback therapy with occupational therapy exercises was investigated on recovery of hand function and ADL performances in patients with stroke.
Materials and Patients

In this randomized control trial study the effects of biofeedback therapy in addition to current occupational therapy exercises were studied on 24 stroke patients (9 males and 15 females) in one setting; Rehabilitation Center of Tabasom (Tehran, Iran). Participants were selected based on inclusion criteria such as: 1- stroke diagnosis by neurologist, 2- scoring 22 and more in Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), 3-recognized as having score 2 and more in modified Ashworth test of spasticity, 4- absence of accompanying disorders such as seizure, psychological disorders, hearing or visual problem, or orthopedic disorders in upper extremities, 5- at least three months passed from incidence of stroke, 6- interested in participating in the study and 7- not suffering from hemianopia, Wernicke aphasia and global aphasia. This study and research was approved by “University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation ethical committee”. Informed consents were obtained prior to experiment and contents were comprehended and signed by patients or their legal representative.

All participants were provided with the information sheet and ensured that their participation in the research is voluntary and they are able to withdraw from the study in every stage of the process. Following their consent data were collected in the participant's convenient time and day. All people with stroke who provided consent to the study were included in the study. Subjects were blinded to the purpose of the study. There were five tools for collecting data. A questionnaire was used within which data on age, sex, right or left dominance, affected side, post-stroke duration, and the duration of receiving rehabilitation services were collected.

Folstein's Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) with 6 subscales for orientation, registration, attention, calculation, recall, and language and praxis tests was used to estimate the patients' cognitive ability to participate in biofeedback therapy [20]. The modified Ashworth scale was used to measure the severity of spasticity in affected hand [21-24]. This scale has been designed to rating spasticity in different muscles and its spectrum rates are from zero (no increase in tonicity) to 4 (rigidity in flexion and extension). Then, the active range of motion (ROM) in upper limbs' joints including elbow, wrist and metacarpophalangeal were measured by goniometry. The extension ROM in elbow was measured in supine position. Because measuring of ROM in elbow starts from 150 degree full flexion and reaches zero degree, the full extension, therefore the angle of extension in elbow was subtracted from 150 to show a positive trend during increase in ROM. The ranges of motion in the wrist and finger were measured in a sagittal plane.

The ROM in the wrist was from zero to 70 degree full extension and in the finger was 90 degree in full extension.

Finally, the Barthel index (BI) was used to asses daily function status and independency in 10 categories of activities including bowel, bladder, grooming, toilet use, feeding, transfer, mobility, dressing, steps, and bathing.

All assessments were repeated after the intervention period [25].

Participants were randomly assigned in the experimental or control groups. Participants in both groups received current occupational therapy including muscle stretching, positioning, facilitating normal patterns of movement, facilitator and inhibitory techniques, reflex inhibitory patterns, facilitating higher level reflexes and muscle tone normalization. Participants in experimental group received an additional biofeedback therapy for 10 min; altogether for 45 min each session. Intervention duration included three sessions a week for three months (altogether 36 sessions).

In biofeedback therapy, after stabilizing hand on the table with a hand-rest, electrodes were set on the bulk of wrist extensor muscles and lateral epicondyle of humerus, patients sat in front of monitor and watched the diagram of muscular contraction. By adjusting the threshold, if the patient could produce an activity in the extensor muscles above the threshold, music broadcasted from the machine. Therefore, he/she could receive appropriate feedback about contraction in the targeted muscle either in visual or auditory signals. The biofeedback tool in this research was Procomp Infiniti 5 channel model, made in USA.

The collected data from the two groups were analyzed using SPSS-20. Descriptive statistics were used for quantitative and qualitative data, and the statistical test was Kolmogorov-Smirnov that used to evaluate normal distribution of data. Equality of variables between the two groups was compared before intervention using independent t-test for quantitative and χ² tests for qualitative variables. Statistical variance analysis for repeated measures (repeated measure ANOVA) was used to study the changes in test scores in each group during consequent assessments and then the mean scores of each test during sequential testing were compared in each group separately using paired t-test (p<0.01).

Results

As seen in the table 1, from 24 participants (15 female and 9 male), 8 females and 4 males were assigned in experimental group and the rest (7 female and 5 male) in the control group. Only 2 subjects were left handed (both in experimental group) and the rest were right handed. Thirteen subjects were affected in the left side (right brain hemisphere) and 11 subjects were affected in right side of the body. From left side affected subjects, 6 subjects were assigned in experimental group and 7 subjects in the control and from right side affected subjects, 6 patients were in the experimental group and the rest in the control group. According to the spasticity evaluation using modified Ashworth scale, 4 patients in experimental group, were rated as 2 score and 8 subjects as 3 score before intervention. In the control group 3 subjects have 2 and 9 subjects had scores 3 in modified Ashworth before intervention. After intervention, in experimental group 8 subjects had 2 and in control group 4 subjects were rated as 2 and the rest were rated as having scores 3 in modified Ashworth scales.
The mean ROM of elbow in experimental group was 32.5 degree before intervention which increased to 82.1 degree after intervention, (approximately 50 degree increase in ROM), while mean increase in ROM in control group was reaching to 41.67 degree (post intervention) from 17.5 degree (pre-intervention) that is about 24 degree increase in mean.

In both groups, intervention (either occupational therapy or occupational therapy with biofeedback) resulted in increase in ROM in elbow, wrist and finger joints which are shown in the table 2.

The mean ROM of wrist in experimental group was 13.75 degree before intervention which reached to 60.83 degree after intervention, while wrist Rom in control group increased from 11.67 to 43.92 degree.

Mean increase in the finger ROM in the experimental group was 32.91 degree, from 11.67 degree to 44.58 degree after intervention but in control group it was 7.92 degree, from 5.83 to 13.75 degree.

Post intervention assessment showed an increase in activities of daily living performances, the Barthel index score from 62.75 to 73.08 in experimental group and from 60.08 to 63.50 in control group. That is occupational therapy exercises in patients with stroke leaded to significant decrease in upper extremity spasticity. Also, significant increases were observed in range of motion of elbow, wrist and fingers joints in experimental group (who received biofeedback and occupational therapy) in comparison with control group (who received only occupational therapy exercises). Furthermore, increase in the activities of daily living performance was remarkably more in experimental group when compared to control group. These finding demonstrated the effectiveness of biofeedback therapy when applied in accompanying with occupational therapy exercises.

Hemiplegia is one of the most consequences of stroke [26] which leads to disorder in activities of daily living performances and decrease in quality of life [27]. Hence rehabilitation team focuses on acquiring the maximum of independence in activities of daily living performances of stroke survivors [28].

Many different and alternative techniques are used by occupational therapist including biofeedback therapy to reach the mentioned goals. This technique causes the activation of voluntary control on single muscles in patients with sensory motor disorders. In addition, increase in range of motion and decrease in spasticity can increase in activities of daily living performances (if accompanied by active participation). Active participation in ADL necessitates the activity of different gross and fine muscles. While some studies have shown the improvement of gross muscles after biofeedback therapy

---

### Table 1. Characteristics of the stroke patients (N=24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Hand Dominant</th>
<th>Affected side</th>
<th>Age (years) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Time since Stroke (Months)</th>
<th>Receiving Services (Months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp. N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9 (75)</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
<td>60.83±15.79</td>
<td>18.6±32.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7±60.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp (%)</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.9±5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.83±27.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.79±28.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N (%)</td>
<td>15 (62.5)</td>
<td>9 (37.5)</td>
<td>7 (28.3)</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>19.75±3.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: female; M: male; L: left; R: right, Exp: experimental group.

### Table 2. The ROM in elbow, wrist and finger before and after intervention in the two groups of patients with stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint ROM (degree)</th>
<th>Elbow (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Wrist (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Finger (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Barthel index (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp (pretest)</td>
<td>18.6±32.5</td>
<td>7.4±13.75</td>
<td>11.9±11.67</td>
<td>10.76±62.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp (post test)</td>
<td>36±82.1</td>
<td>15.7±60.83</td>
<td>23.8±44.58</td>
<td>13.64±73.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (pretest)</td>
<td>18.1±17.5</td>
<td>6.8±11.67</td>
<td>13.79±5.83</td>
<td>6.3±60.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (post test)</td>
<td>28.4±41.67</td>
<td>20.1±43.92</td>
<td>27.4±13.75</td>
<td>9.99±63.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROM: range of motion, Exp: experimental group.
In present research data analysis showed a positive effect of biofeedback on the range of motion in elbow, wrist and finger in patients with stroke. Furthermore, increase in the ROM is coupled with improvement and facility in activities of daily living performances, as can be seen in patients' scores in Barthel index. Although, considering Barthel index scores showed an improvement in activities of daily living performances in both the experimental and control groups, but this recovery in experimental group were significantly more than that of control group. Data support the effectiveness of biofeedback therapy on the activities of daily living performances in the experimental group. While data on goniometry indicated effectiveness of occupational therapy on the range of motion in control group, but differences between the two groups were significant. In addition, while participants in the both groups showed decrease in spasticity (Ashworth score) but in the experimental group many more patients showed a decrease in Asworth scores from 3 to 2. In accordance with these findings, the effectiveness of electromyography biofeedback in functional recovery of hand in hemiplegic patients has been reported [16, 30, 31]. In the mentioned research [16] biofeedback therapy and placebo biofeedback have been compared which has showed better recovery in active ROM of wrist in subjects received biofeedback when compared to control group (receiving placebo). In that research, griping a glass which is a complicated hand function was assessed. This function has showed an improvement in both groups and there was not a significant difference between them. According to the author’s report, this could be due to psychological role of placebo biofeedback which can act as a motive for activities of daily living performances. Hence more studies are needed to shed light on these dark angles. Furthermore, it has been reported [11] that application of electromyography biofeedback on upper extremities in hemiplegic stroke survivors caused decrease in hyperactivity of biceps brachii, wrist and finger flexors, thenar eminence and flexor synergist at all. In addition this intervention has lead to optimized neuromuscular function and recovery of function by following the treatment protocol.

In the present study, the subjects who showed a decrease in spasticity after biofeedback therapy were much more than these subjects in control group. Accordingly, in a systematic review in 2007, researchers using electromyography biofeedback in upper extremities of stroke patients were reviewed [32]. One of the researches has shown the positive effects of electromyography biofeedback in accompanying with rehabilitation programs on the ROM of shoulder. The two other studies have shown the effectiveness of these treatments on functional ability of upper extremities. Therefore, considering the present results and previous studies, it could be concluded that, using biofeedback technique in accompanying with routine occupational therapy can effectively improve the ROM and reduce spasticity in the upper limbs of stroke survivors.

Stroke survivors suffer from disability in activities of daily living performances which consequently leads to decrease in their quality of life. Considering the present findings, the biofeedback therapy is a potent treatment modality in increasing the ROM in upper limb and improves the activities of daily living performances which can lead to increase in independency and quality of life. These factors are among the most key points in rehabilitation of the patients with stroke.
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