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Introduction

Dystocia of labor or abnormally slow progress of labor occurs in 25-30 percent of primiparous women and justifies two third of cesarean operations prescribed for such women [1]. Because of genetic factors, malnutrition and diseases, the most prevalent cause of dystocia in the undeveloped countries is cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD) [2]. Is dystocia of labor is not diagnosed and treated on time, it will result in death of mother, uterine rupture, postpartum hemorrhage, postpartum infections, genital system fistulas and adverse fetal outcomes such as Birth asphyxia, septicema, nervous trauma and death [3, 4]. A total of 600000 women die because of pregnancy and labor disorders annually across the undeveloped countries and the most prevalent (30%) cause of such death Is CPD [3, 5]. Most of such outcomes can be prevented through recognizing women at risk of dystocia of labor and referring them to medical centers [6]. The clinical pelvimetry (touching the inner walls of pelvis) is widely used [7, 8]. It is very irritating for the patient and it suffers from high rates of mental errors. The advanced pelvimetry techniques such as pelvimetry through computer-based tomography, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, radiography and ultrasound are expensive and unavailable in the developing countries [7, 10]. External pelvimetry is a simple, inexpensive and available for patients which had been introduced as the first technique to predict dystocia of labor [11].

Few studies have been conducted about predicting dystocia of labor through external pelvimetry [11]. A number of studies have reported that measuring external diameters of pelvis is hardly useful for identifying women at risk of dystocia; however, Rozenholc et al. (2007) and Liselele et al (2000) showed that some pelvic diameters particularly transverse diagonal of the Michaelis sacral rhomboid area and intertrochanteric line has high predictive value to predict dystocia. They also have emphasized the necessity of confirming their results by other societies [11, 12]. Therefore, the study was done with the aim of determining the predictive value of external measurement of primiparous women’s pelvic diameters which would be useful to diagnose women at risk of dystocia.

Materials and Methods

A descriptive-correlational double-blind method was used for this study in which a total of 447 primiparous women who have enrolled in maternity ward of Um al-Banin Hospital of Mashhad were studied. The women should be in age at any full-term pregnancy (FP) (38-42 weeks), their singleton pregnancy should be confirmed. They enrolled in the study since Dec. 11, 2008 to May 31, 2009. The study plan was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences and all research departments verified it.
Women who had hip fractures, asymmetrical pelvis, lameness, apparent narrow pelvis, severe anxiety, BMI > 30 kg/m², or women who were younger than 18 or older than 35 or whose babies were lighter than 2500 gr or heavier than 4000, cesarean due to other reasons except dystocia were removed from the study. External diameters of mothers with cervical dilation ≤ 5 cm were measured (Fig. 1).

The research responsible for controlling delivery was not informed about such sizes. Delivery was carried out through cesarean or vacuum extraction, while in the presence of effective uterine contractions in active phase of labor, the rate of cervical dilation was less than 1 cm per hour for two hours and the rate of dilation was less than 1 cm per hour in the second phase of delivery, it was considered as the criterion for dystocia and type of delivery was treated as the golden standard of the pelvic capacity.

**Results**

The highest sensitivity gained in this study is related to the transverse diagonal of Michaelis Sacral which is followed by IT, IC, ITB, anterior posterior diameter of pelvis and IS (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive indicative value</th>
<th>Negative indicative value</th>
<th>accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second decile of transverse diagonal of Michaelis sacral (≤ 9.6 cm)</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth decile of inter-trochanteric diameter (≤ 31 cm)</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth decile of external intercrestal diameter</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>87.61</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third decile of ischial intertuberous diameter (≤ 9 cm)</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth decile of antero-posterior diameter (≤ 20.5 cm)</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First percentile of interspinous diameter (≤ 23 cm)</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

In our study, incision spots of the pelvic diameters were determined based on the best sensitivity, specificity gained from measuring their various strata and quarters. The highest sensitivity belonged to transverse diagonal of Michaelis Sacral (60.7%) which was followed by intertrochanteric line (57%), iliac crests (51.7%), ischial tuberosities (48.2%), anterior posterior diameter of pelvis (44.6%), iliac spines (42.8%).

Liselele et al set the incision spots of pelvic diameters in accordance with the 10th percentile of their society. In this study, transverse diagonal of Michaelis Sacral had the highest sensitivity (60.7%), iliac crests (51.7%), ischial tuberosities (48.2%), anterior posterior diameter of pelvis (44.6%), iliac spines (42.8%).
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The obtained sensitivity for the clinical pelvimetry in our society is comparable to the more advanced methods of pelvimetry. In remote areas where the more advanced methods of pelvimetry are unavailable, the external pelvimetry can be helpful in identifying women at risk of dystocia.
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